NETWORK ANALYSIS 1

SOCIOLOGY 6008HF FALL 2022

Class meets: Tuesdays 1 PM -3 PM Room 240, 725 Spadina

INSTRUCTOR Professor Bonnie H. Erickson

ericson@chass.utoronto.ca Room 386, 725 Spadina

Office hours: by appointment

Network analysis is the serious study of social structure, hence an essential aspect of virtually every substantive sociological topic. This course will approach a variety of topics: core concepts, arguments, findings, ways of collecting and analyzing data. The emphasis will be on generally important ideas, more than methodological detail.

The course will begin with Mark Granovetter's essay "The Strength of Weak Ties," a classic not only in network analysis but in social science (it is a Social Science Citation Classic). This introduces costs and benefits of different kinds of network structure for people and for groups, and the interconnections of personal networks with the whole networks of which they are a part. We next consider important topics in ego centric networks, followed by topics in the study of whole networks.

For ego networks, we consider core topics. Why are weak ties strong in some ways? How can we measure tie strength? Weak ties are thought to be strong in part because they are more diverse than strong ties, which are more subject to homophily (the human preference to make friends with people who are like themselves in characteristics important in their societies). What are the core arguments and findings about homophily? For individuals, social capital is the good things people may access through their contacts. Interpretations include the number or strength of one's ties, the status and resources of those one knows, their variety, and the ways they are connected to each other. How can we measure social capital in the sense of network diversity? If network diversity is a form of social capital, what profits does social capital provide? Possible benefits of the "right" kind of network include the economic (early promotion, getting better jobs or pay, trading opportunities), cultural (cultural capital in the sense of knowing more about a wider range of genres, as well as the Bourdieu sense of knowing more about high status culture), personal (especially personal autonomy), social support, and health. This leads to consideration of networks and inequality. We will constantly ask how and why various forms of personal social capital are unequally distributed, and how this contributes to both mobility and the reproduction of inequality.

As well as networks centered on individual actors, network analysts deal with whole networks such as all the friendships in a high school or all the formal and informal ties within an organization. We will first consider how to identify the overall structure of a network, and how to identify actor locations within the network, giving a more powerful reading of structural position than actor-centered networks can provide. We will consider how whole networks and locations within them affect important social processes: influence, diffusion, and creativity. We then conclude with two rich classic essays by Simmel.

We cannot include everything in this course, but students should know that there are rich literatures on networks and culture, health, work and occupations, race and ethnicity, immigration, crime and deviance, organizations, markets, and indeed every substantive area in sociology.

EVALUATION

Class participation, 10%. Based on regular attendance and contributions to our discussions.

Leading class discussion, 10%. Working with a partner, you will develop comments and questions to stimulate discussion of the readings of the week.

Reaction papers, 10%. Each week after the first week, you will contribute a 1-2 page commentary on parts of the readings to be discussed that week. Post these on a discussion board on Quercus at least one day before the class meeting, so you can engage with each other's thoughts. Late submissions are not useful and will get no credit. Please do a minimum of mere summary; instead, *discuss* aspects of the readings. You will receive 1% for each reaction paper you hand in on time, up to 10%.

Essay proposal, 20%. The proposal is an outline of your proposed topic and argument (MAXIMUM 5 pages, double spaced, normal font and margins) with a suggested list of readings. The proposal is due October 18 and will be returned with grade and comments October 25. Please do discuss your plans with me before finalizing your proposal. Your topic can be anything you are interested in, but you must take a social network analysis approach to it. You have a choice of three formats: library research paper, research proposal, or research paper. A library research paper is a literature review in which you develop a new argument based on previous work and your reflections upon it. It is similar to the literature review and hypothesis development section of a standard journal article. A research proposal goes further by proposing ways to collect and analyse data to test the argument you develop. It is similar to the literature review AND methods sections of a standard journal article. A research paper goes all the way, including data analysis, results and discussion. The format you choose depends on how far along you are with work on your chosen topic. Past students have found all three formats useful in moving their work forward. Cote and Erickson (2009), listed below in the section on networks and inequality, is an example of a paper that began as a research paper for this course.

Essay, 50%. The essays are due November 29. The late penalty for the essay is 10% of the maximum grade possible (that is, 6%) for each weekday late.

USEFUL RESOURCES

Journals

Network analysis is very widespread and good network papers appear in all serious journals. However, some journals specialize in network analysis:

Social Networks is the main journal of the International Network for Social Network Analysis. It is a formal journal for the network specialist, and especially good for more technical work.

Connections is a more informal mix of newsletter, abstracts, announcements, think pieces etc. It is also published by INSNA.

Journal of Social Structure (<u>http://www.cmu.edu/joss</u>) is another INSNA peer-reviewed journal, but is an online journal, which allows especially lovely diagrams and animations.

INSNA website

www.insna.org

This includes access to Connections online, and information about how to join the very friendly and helpful listserve SOCNET. People, including students, often send in requests for help — and get it. The website includes many other resources: data sets, conference announcements, reading lists, software etc.

Another interesting site: <u>www.orgnet.com/index.html.</u> These has pictures of networks and short descriptions and analyses of each.

Books

There is no fully satisfactory text in this area, though an excellent one is on its way:

Rawlings, Craig M., Jeffrey Smith, James Moody, and Daniel McFarland. Forthcoming. *Network Analysis: Integrating Social Network Theory, Method, and Application with R.* New York: Cambridge University Press.

Meanwhile, there are some clear introductions to the history of the field and some of its major methods:

Scott, John. 2001. *Social Network Analysis: A Handbook.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. See especially chapters 1 and 2.

Kadushin, Charles. 2011. Understanding Social Networks: Theories, Concepts, and Findings. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Another recent introduction is:

Giuffre, Katherine. 2013. Communities and Networks: Using Social Network Analysis to Rethink Urban and Community Studies. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Giuffre is very well written, applies network analysis to a range of classic studies, and provides both clear explanation of basic network concepts and clear guidelines for doing analysis with UCINET.

There are a number of good introductions to a range of network topics in:

Scott, John, and Peter Carrington. 2011. *The Sage Handbook of Social Network Analysis*. London: Sage.

The most comprehensive guide to network concepts and data analysis is: Wasserman, Stanley and Katherine Faust. 1994. *Social Network Analysis: Methods and Applications*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

There is a more recent update on methodological developments:

Carrington, Peter J., John Scott and Stanley Wasserman (eds.). 2005. *Models and Methods in Social Network Analysis*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Everyone should read one chapter from this book:

Marsden, Peter V. "Recent Developments in Network Measurement." Pp. 8-30 in Carrington et al.

For the study of personal (or ego centric) networks, very good and recent is:

Perry, Brea L., Bernice A. Pescosolido, and Stephen P. Borgatti. 2018. *Egocentric Network Analysis: Foundations, Methods, and Models*. Cambridge University Press.

For those who are seriously interested in social capital, there are several very good edited collections. These include:

- Lin, Nan, and Bonnie H. Erickson (eds.). 2008. Social Capital: An International Research Program. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lin, Nan, Yang-chih Fu, and Chih-jou Jay Chen, editors. 2014. Social Capital and its Institutional Constraints. New York: Routledge.

An especially useful book resource

I recommend selective attention to the following:

Small, Mario L., Brea Perry, Bernice Pescosolido, and Edward B. Smith (eds.). 2021. *Personal Networks: Classic Readings and New Directions in Ego-Centric Analysis*. Cambridge University Press Structural Analysis Series.

This book includes excellent chapters on a number of topics including the flow of culture in weak ties, networks and voting, diffusion, and more. Several scholars who are on the reading lists for this course and/or the network comprehensive exam have up to date reflections on work in their areas (Centola on influence in networks, comparing complex to simple diffusion and influence before and after the advent of social media; Fischer on his older work and his new project; Fernandez on the current work on the strength of weak ties in labour markets; Feld on developments in the study of foci; Burt on structural holes; McPherson, Smith-Lovin and Rawlings on developments in the study of homophily; Tindall and others on the study of social movements, online and offline...). Also worthy of attention is Huckfeldt's overview of his massive research program on the role of networks in politics, Hampton and Chen on the impacts of social media on personal networks, and my own chapter on culture flows in weak ties.

You can get the e-version of this book from the U of T library. Browse for things of interest to you.

The Network Comprehensive Exam Reading List

5

This course is meant to be an introduction to network analysis that will, among other things, help prepare those students writing a comprehensive examination in network analysis. Almost all the course readings come from the comp reading list. The list is longer, however, and is a good source of further readings essential to the field.

September 13

Introduction: Thinking Structurally

Overview of the course, introductions by potential participants.

Readings

Emirbayer, Mustafa. 1997. "Manifesto for a Relational Sociology." American Journal of Sociology 103: 281-317.

Additional, not required reading:

- Blau. Peter M. 1977. "A Macrosociological Theory of Social Structure." *American Journal of Sociology* 83:26-54.
- Giuffre, Katherine. 2013. Communities and Networks: Using Social Network Analysis to Rethink Urban and Community Studies. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press. Chapter 1.

For real beginners, see Scott (2000) chapters 1 and 2, and sections of Guiffre of interest. It would also be a good idea to start on the set of readings for September 20, starting with Granovetter.

September 20

Why are weak ties strong? Major Arguments

Granovetter (1973) pioneered the analysis of the "strength of weak ties" for both persons and communities. We will begin the course with personal networks, and later consider whole networks.

While Granovetter argues weak ties are strong for individuals when the weak ties are bridges, Lin (2001) points to the kinds of resources that alters control, and Burt (1993) argues for the social structure of ties among ego and alters. Coser (1975) gives a different but related kind of argument linking network diversity to useful personal outcomes such as autonomy and abstract thought. Erickson (2003) discusses the value of diversity more generally. Killworth et al. (1990) show that people have large numbers of weak ties, far more than strong ties. So their sheer number may provide advantages at times.

Granovetter (1973) used balance theory as his primary theoretical engine, and balance theory continues to be a major resource. The optional reading Rawlings (2020) uses a classic data set to advance balance theory, and this paper brings you up to date on current work on (and with) balance theory.

Readings

- Burt, Ronald S. 1997. "The Contingent Value of Social Capital." Administrative Science Quarterly 42: 339-365.
- Coser, Rose Laub. 1975. "The Complexity of Roles as a Seedbed of Individual Autonomy." Pp. 237-262 in Louis A. Coser (ed.), *The Idea of Social Structure: Papers in Honor of Robert K. Merton*. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.

Erickson, Bonnie H.2003. "Social Networks: The Value of Variety." *Contexts* Vol. 2, No. 1: 25-31.

- Granovetter, Mark. 1973. "The Strength of Weak Ties." *American Journal of Sociology* 78:1360-80.
- Killworth, Peter. et. al. 1990. "Estimating the Size of Personal Networks." *Social Networks*. 12: 289-312.
- Lin, 2001. "Building a Network Theory of Social Capital." Pp. 3-29 in Nan Lin, Karen Cook, and Ronald S. Burt (eds.), *Social Capital: Theory and Research*. New York: Aldine de Gruyter.

Optional reading:

Rawlings, Craig M. 2020. "Cognitive Authority and the Constraint of Attitude Change in Groups." *American Sociological Review* 85:992-1021.

September 27

Tie strength and homophily

This set of readings includes classic citations concerning core elements of the major arguments about tie strength. First, how should we measure the strength of a tie (Marsden and Campbell 1984)? Just skim this classic "must cite" piece and move right along to Marsden and Campbell (2012) who bring the issues up to date. Closer relationships link people who are more similar to each other (Marsden (1987), and also Erickson (1996), below, Table 3, page 257). Stronger ties show more homophily because of personal choice, structured opportunities, or both (Feld 1982, McPherson, Smith-Lovin and Cook 2001). DiPrete et al.(2011) give a more recent, interesting comparison of homophily in weak and strong ties. Some of the findings are surprising and debatable.

The optional reading by Wimmer and Lewis (2010) makes the useful point that what looks like group homophily is often subgroup homophily. The optional reading by McPherson and smith-Lovin (1987) shows that homophily sometimes works in a two stage process in which people choose voluntary associations that people like themselves are more likely to choose, so they make contact with people somewhat similar to themselves, and then choose to make friends with association members who are very like themselves. The optional reading by Rivera et al. is not a true network paper, since it is about dyads, but it includes useful material on homophily and other topics important for our course.

The most useful of the optional readings is McPherson, Smith-Lovin, and Rawlings (2021), a very up to date chapter by important scholars.

Readings:

- DiPrete, Thomas A. Et al. 2011. "Segregation in Social Networks Based on Acquaintances and Trust." *American Journal of Sociology* 116: 1234-83.
- Feld, Scott. 1982. "Social Structural Determinants of Similarity among Associates." American Sociological Review 47:797-801.
- Marsden, Peter V. 1987. "Core Discussion Networks of Americans." American Sociological Review 52:122-31.
- Marsden, Peter V. and Karen E. Campbell. 1984. "Measuring Tie Strength." *Social Forces* 63:482-501.

- Marsden, Peter V. and Karen Campbell. 2012. "Reflections on Conceptualizing and Measuring Tie Strength." *Social Forces* 91: 17-23.
- McPherson, J. Miller, Lynn Smith-Lovin and J. M. Cook. 2001." "Birds of a Feather: Homophily in Social Networks." *Annual Review of Sociology* 27:415-44.

Additional, not required readings:

McPherson, J. Miller, Lynn Smith-Lovin, and Craig Rawlings. 2021. "The Enormous Flock of Homophily Researchers: Assessing and Promoting a Research Agenda." In Mario L. Small, Brea Perry, Bernice Pescosolido, and Edward B. Smith (eds.), *Personal Networks: Classic Readings and New Directions in Ego-Centric Analysis*. Cambridge University Press Structural Analysis Series. (Book available in the e-library).

Rivera, Mark, Sara Soderstrom and Brian Uzzi. 2010. "Dynamics of Dyads in Social Networks: Assortative, Relational, and Proximity Mechanisms." *Annual Review of Sociology* 36:91-115.

Wimmer, Andreas and Kevin Lewis. 2010. "Beyond and Below Racial Homophily: ERG Models of a Friendship Network Documented on Facebook." *American Journal of Sociology* 116: 583-642.

October 4

The Social Capital in Weak Ties: Theory and Measurement with the Position Generator

Lin and Erickson (2008) give a fairly recent discussion of the popular and productive "position generator" approach to the social capital in weak ties. Van der Gaag et al. compare different kinds of measures of social capital. Kadushin (2004) offers a thoughtful critique of work on social capital.

The non-required readings include the original position generator paper (Lin and Dumin 1986). Van Der Gaag and Snijders (2005) is the original paper on the resource generator and shows that there are different kinds of resources available through networks and their availability is linked in different ways to personal attributes. Verhaeghe, Putte, and Roose (2013) show that the position generator is robust in the sense that it does not matter which particular occupations one chooses from those at a given level of occupational status. Erickson (2004) extends the basic approach to include gender and shows the social sources of gendered social capital in Canada.

Readings

Kadushin, Charles. 2004. "Too Much Investment in Social Capital?" Social Networks 26: 75-90.
Lin, Nan and Bonnie H. Erickson. 2008. "Theory, Measurement, and the Research Enterprise on Social Capital." Pp. 1-26 in Nan Lin and Bonnie H. Erickson (eds.), Social Capital: An International Research Program. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Van der Gaag, Martin, Tom A. B. Snijders, and Henk Flap. 2008. "Position Generator Methods and Their Relationship to Other Social Capital Measures." Pp. 27-48 in Nan Lin and Bonnie H. Erickson (eds.), Social Capital: An International Research Program. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Additional, not required readings

Erickson, Bonnie H. 2004. "The Distribution of Gendered Social Capital in Canada." Pp. 27-50 in Henk Flap and Beate Volker (eds.), *Creation and Returns of Social Capital: A New Research Program.* London and New York: Routledge.

- Lin, Nan, and Mary Dumin. 1986. "Access to Occupations through Social Ties." Social Networks 8:365-86.
- Van Der Gaag, Martin, and Tom A.B. Snijders. 2005. "The Resource Generator: social capital quantification with concrete items." *Social Networks* 27: 1-29.
- Verhaeghe, Pieter-Paul, Bart Van de Putte, and Henk Roose. 2013. "Reliability of Position Generator Measures across Different Occupational Lists: A Parallel Test Experiment." *Field Methods* 25: 238-261.

October 11

The Social Capital in Weak Ties: Important "Profits"

The classic example of a "profit" gained from weak ties is getting a job. Lin (1999) gives an overview of status attainment research with emphasis on social capital approaches, while Granovetter (1995) overviews a quarter century of work inspired by his original book *Getting A Job*. Two more specific articles extend our understanding of processes by which social capital does or does not lead to jobs. Erickson (2001) combines job results for individual employees with employer hiring strategies in the Toronto security industry. Marin (2011) and Smith (2005) both discuss when and why network members who could provide job leads fail to do so. Smith studied inner city black people and stresses the role of neighbourhood context, while Marin studied relatively privileged Torontonians and focuses more on strength of tie and open versus closed occupations. McDonald et al. (2009) show class, race and gender differences in receiving job opportunity information (differences that favour white men).

Social capital is also an important predictor of health. To keep this week's list reasonable I have put some exemplary papers in the optional section. Moore et al. (2009) show that Montrealers with more diverse networks are less likely to be obese. Song (2010) shows that network diversity protects against depression. Verhaeghe and Tampubolon (2012) link neighbourhood deprivation to weaker social capital and hence to health – and also show that ties to higher status people are positive social capital good for health, while ties to lower status people are the opposite. Erickson (2009) shows some of the mechanisms that may be at work: network diversity leads to two valuable health resources, money and mastery. There is a large literature on networks and health.

Other important "profits" include money and mastery (Erickson 2009), diverse cultural repertoires (see Erickson 1996 below, in the section on networks and inequality), and political activity and influence (see Tindall and Cormier in the optional readings below).

Readings

- Erickson, Bonnie H. 2001. "Good Networks and Good Jobs: The Value of Social Capital to Employers and Employees." Pp. 127-158 in Nan Lin, Karen Cook, and Ronald S. Burt (eds.), *Social Capital: Theory and Research*. New York: Aldine de Gruyter.
- Granovetter, Mark. 1995. "Afterword 1994: Reconsiderations and a New Agenda." Pp. 139-182 in Mark Granovetter, *Getting A Job: A Study of Contacts and Careers, Second Edition*. Chicago and London: University of Chicago Press.

- Lin, Nan. 1999. "Social Networks and Status Attainment." Annual Review of Sociology, 25:467-87.
- Marin, Alexandra. 2011. "Don't Mention it: Why People Don't Share Job information, When They Do, and Why It Matters." *Social Networks* 34: 181-192.
- McDonald, Steve, Nan Lin, and Dan Ao. 2009. "Networks of Opportunity: Gender, Race, and Job Leads." *Social Problems* 56: 385-402.
- Smith, Sandra Susan. 2005. "'Don't put my name on it': Social Capital Activation and Job-Finding Assistance among the Black Urban Poor." *American Journal of Sociology* 111:1-57.

Additional, not required readings

- Bonnie H. Erickson. 2009. "The Context Challenge: Generalizing Social Capital Processes Across Two Different Settings.." Pp. 93-114 in Ray-May Hsung, Nan Lin, and Ronald L. Breiger (eds.), Contexts of Social Capital: Social Networks in Markets, Communities, and Families. New York: Routledge.
- Moore, Spencer, et al. 2009. "Association of individual network social capital with abdominal adiposity, overweight and obesity." *Journal of Public Health* 31: 175-183.
- Song, Lijun. 2010. "Social Capital and Psychological Distress." Journal of Health and Social Behavior S2(4): 478-492.
- Tindall, D. B., and Jeffrey J. Cormier. 2008. "Gender, Network Capital, Social Capital, and Political Capital: The Consequences of Personal Network Diversity for Environmentalists in British Columbia." Pp. 282-327 in Nan Lin and Bonnie H. Erickson (eds.), Social Capital: An International Research Program. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Verhaeghe, Pieter-Paul and Gindo Tampubolon. 2012. "Individual social capital, neighbourhood deprivation, and self-rated health in England." *Social Science & Medicine* 75: 349-357.

October 1 ESSAY PROPOSALS DUE

Social Networks and Inequality

Those higher in stratification systems develop richer networks with more social capital of all kinds, and network advantages produce stratification advantages. Often, alas, networks are powerful means of reproduction of inequality. The readings below include stratification of different types, including socio-economic (status hierarchies, occupational structures, neo-Marxian class, Bourdieu-style models of class and capitals) as well as gender and ethnicity. The optional readings include work on several European countries.

Readings

- Côte, Rochelle and Bonnie H. Erickson. 2009. "Untangling the Roots of Tolerance: How Forms of Social Capital Shape Attitudes toward Ethnic Minorities and Immigrants." *American Behavioral Scientist* 52:1664-1689.
- DiMaggio, Paul and Filiz Garip. 2011. "How Networks Externalities Can Exacerbate Intergroup Inequality." *American Journal of Sociology*. 116:1887-1933
- Erickson, Bonnie H. 1996. "Culture, Class, and Connections." American Journal of Sociology. 102:217-251.
- Flap, Henk and Beate Volker. 2008. "Social, Cultural, and Economic Capital and Job Attainment: The Position Generator as a Measure of Cultural and Economic

Resources." Pp. 65-80 in Nan Lin and Bonnie H. Erickson (eds.), *Social Capital: An International Research Program.* Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Tilly, Charles. 2005. "Durable Inequality." Pp. 71-90 in Charles Tilly, *Identities, Boundaries and Social Ties*. Boulder, CO: Paradigm.

NOTE: the Tilly chapter is a very condensed version of a small book, which is much easier to understand if you have problems with the chapter. See Charles Tilly, 1998, *Durable Inequality*, University of California Press.

October 25

Strong ties: their nature and sources

While weak ties can be strong, this does not mean that strong ties are entirely weak. Strong ties are a person's strongest source of social support.

This week, we focus on what close tie networks are like and how they are formed. Wellman (1979) is the first of many reports on close tie networks in East York, Toronto. Fischer (1982) describes networks and network formation in places of varying size in California. (Small et al. 2021 includes a chapter by Fischer reflecting on this now classic study). Moore (1990) examines gender differences.

McCarty et al. (1997) compare personal networks as observed by several methods (including all those used in the required readings) and show that closer ties are, compared to weaker ones, fewer in number, longer in duration, more frequently contacted, more densely interconnected, closer in space, and more often kin.

Supplements also include McPherson et al. (2006), comparing discussion networks over time in the US. This paper got great attention at first because it seemed to show that social isolation has increased greatly. However, Fischer (2009) argues the findings may be artifactual. McPherson et al. (2009) reply. Lee and Bearman (2017) trace the findings to the timing of the GSS survey (during a hotly contested election). Thinking about these discussions is a great way to think about the methodological challenges of studying networks with surveys.

Mollenhorst, Volker and Flop consider how relationships vary with the context in which they are made.

Readings

Fischer, Claude S. 1982. *To Dwell Among Friends*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Chapters 3, 7-10.

Moore, Gwen. 1990. "Structural Determinants of Men's and Women's Personal Networks." *American Sociological Review* 55: 726-35.

Wellman, Barry. 1979. "The Community Question: The Intimate Networks of East Yorkers." *American Journal of Sociology*, 84(5): 1201-33.

Additional, not required readings

Fischer, Claude. 2009. "The 2004 GSS finding of shrunken social networks: An artifact?" *American Sociological Review* 74(4), in press.

Lee, Byungkyu and Peter Berman. 2017. "Important Matters in Political Context." *Sociological Science* 4:1-30.

- McCarty. C., H. R. Bernard, P. D. Killworth, G. A. Shelley, and E. C. Johnsen. 1997. "Eliciting Representative Samples of Personal Networks." *Social Networks* 19:303-323.
- McPherson, Miller, Lynn Smith-Lovin and Matthew E. Brashears. 2006. "Social Isolation in America: Changes in Core Discussion Networks Over Two Decades." American Sociological Review. 71:353-375
- McPherson, Miller, Lynn Smith-Lovin, and Matthew E. Brashears. 2009. "Models and marginals." *American Sociological Review*, 74(4).
- Mollenhorst, Gerald, Beate Volker, and Henk Flop. 2008. "Social Contexts and Personal Relationships: The Effect of Meeting Opportunities on Similarity for Relationships of Different Strength." *Social Networks* 30:60-68.

Optional readings on changes in relationships

Fischer, Claude S., and Shira Offer. 2020. "Who is dropped and why? Methodological and substantive accounts for network loss." *Social Networks* 61: 78-86.

Offer, Shira, and Claude Fischer. 2022. "How new is "New"? Who gets added in a panel study of personal networks?" *Social Networks* 70:284-294.

November 1 Networks and Social Support

People do not need only jobs: they need companionship, emotional support, help with everyday problems, care when ill, and other forms of social support that show the strength of strong ties. Fischer (1982) discusses social support in his California networks. Wellman and Wortley (1990) consider which kinds of support come from which kinds of relationships or alters. Uehara (1990) links network structure to exchange processes and forms of support. Plickert et al. (2007) discuss reciprocity in support. Schafer and Vargas (2016) is a recent example of research showing that the benefits of strong ties, like the benefits of weak ties, are not equally distributed but are linked to inequality.

In the optional readings, Chua (2013) shows that people in different subcultures link kinds of support and the kinds of alters who supply it in different ways. Desmond (2012) considers how the urban poor cope with crises.

Readings

- Fischer, Claude. 1982. To Dwell Among Friends. Berkeley: University of California Press. Chapters 11-12.
- Plickert, Gabriele, Rochelle R. Cote, and Barry Wellman. 2007. "It's not who you know, it's how you know them: Who exchanges what with whom?" *Social Networks* 29: 405-429.
- Schafer, Markus, and Nicholas Vargas. 2016. "The Dynamics of Social Support Inequality: Maintenance Gaps by Socioeconomic Status and Race." *Social Forces* 94:1795-1822.
- Uehara, Edwina. 1990. "Dual Exchange Theory, Social Networks, and Informal Social Support." *American Journal of Sociology* 96:521-57.
- Wellman, Barry and Scot Wortley. 1990. "Different Strokes from Different Folks: Community Ties and Social Support." *American Journal of Sociology* 96:558-88.

Additional, not required readings:

12

Chua, Vincent. 2013. "Contextualizing 'networked individualism': The interplay of social categories, role relationships and tasks." *Current Sociology* 61 (5-6) 602-625.

Desmond, Matthew. 2012. "Disposable Ties and the Urban Poor." American Journal of Sociology 117: 1295-1335.

November 8 *Reading week, no class*

November 15

The Structure of Whole Networks

Readings above emphasized the collection of ties centered on one social actor; but much of network analysis deals with the overall structure of an entire network.

White et al. (1976) give the original, classic statement on structural equivalence, while Doreian (1999) provides a more readable introduction. Anheier et al. (1995) give a lovely example that links structural equivalence to inequality and the theories of Bourdieu. Padgett and Ansell give a now classic analysis of the structural roots of Medici power.

Childress and Friedkin (2012) give a fine recent application of Friedkin's model of influence structures in whole networks. Marsden and Friedkin (1993) give a more technical overview of this kind of model.

Breiger (1974) discusses ways that groups link people and people link groups in a "dual" structure. Numerous studies have taken off from this classic.

Readings

Anheier, Helmut K. Jurgen Gerhards, and Frank P. Romo. 1995. "Forms of Capital and Social Structure in Cultural Fields: Examining Bourdieu's Social Topography." *American Journal of Sociology* 100:859-903.

Breiger, Ron. 1974. "The Duality of Persons and Groups." Social Forces 53:181-190.

- Childress, Clayton and Noah Friedkin. 2012. "Cultural Reception and Production: The Social Construction of Meaning in Book Clubs." *American Sociological Review* 77:45-68.
- White, Harrison C., Scott Boorman, and Ronald Breiger. 1976. "Social Structure from Social Networks. I. Blockmodels of Roles and Positions." *American Journal of Sociology* 81: 730-750.

Additional, not required readings

- Doreian, Patrick. 1999. "An Intuitive Introduction to Blockmodelling with Examples." *Bulletin de Methodologie Sociologique* 61: 5-34.
- Mardsen, P.V. & Friedkin, N.E. 1993. "Network studies of social influence." *Sociological Methods & Research* 22: 127-151.
- Padgett, John and Christopher K. Ansell. 1993. "Robust Action and the Rise of the Medici, 1400-1434." American Journal of Sociology 98: 1259-1319.

November 15

Actor locations and local tendencies within whole networks

One powerful form of actor location in a whole network is the actor's centrality. Freeman (1979) gives the classic development of three forms of centrality, all still in much use today.

Bonacich extends and modifies centrality concepts in a model also much in use. Erickson and Nosanchuk (1984) show how powerful one kind of centrality, indegree, can be in forming positive or negative reputations and status. Faris and Felmlee use another form of centrality, betweenness centrality, and its links to power, influence and aggression.

There has recently been a strong surge of development of "exponential random graph models" (ERGM) of local tendencies within whole networks. Important tendencies include reciprocity and transitivity. Robins et al. (2007) give an introduction to the basic form of the model. Wimmer and Lewis (2010) use recent and sophisticated versions of this approach and link us back to issues of homophily and its roots. McFarland et al. (2014) use a very sophisticated version and link the micro to the meso by showing how school-level variables shape how micro-level processes operate, leading to a wide variety of overall network structures.

Readings

Bonacich, Philip. 1987. "Power and Centrality: A Family of Measures." *American Journal of Sociology* 92:1170-82.

- Erickson, Bonnie H. and T. A. Nosanchuk. 1984. "The Allocation of Esteem and Disesteem." *American Sociological Review* 49:648-658.
- Freeman, Linton. 1979. "Centrality in Social Networks: Conceptual Clarification." Social Networks 1:215-239.
- McFarland, Daniel A., James Moody, David Diehl, Jeffrey A. Smith, and Reuben J. Thomas 2014. "Network Ecology and Adolescent Social Structure." *American Sociological Review* 79: 1088-1121.
- Robins, Gary, Pip Patterson, Yuval Kalish, and Dean Lusher. 2007. "An introduction to exponential random graph (p*) models for social networks." *Social Networks* 29: 173-191.
- Wimmer, Andreas and Kevin Lewis. 2010. "Beyond and Below Racial Homophily: ERG Models of a Friendship Network Documented on Facebook." *American Journal of Sociology* 116:583-642.

Additional, not required readings

Faris, Robert and Diane Felmlee. 2011. "Status Struggles: Network Centrality and Gender Segregation in Same- and Cross-Gender Aggression." *American Sociological Review* 70:48-73.

November 22

Innovation, Diffusion, and Influence

There is a massive literature on how both good and bad things flow through social networks.

The classic readings is Coleman, Katz and Mendel (1957). Van den Bulte and Lillien (2001) is one of several papers that analyses this historic data set in new ways and argues for a different view of how medical innovations diffuse. (Ron Burt has another one; remind me to tell you how he saved this treasure from immanent ruin). Recall that Granovetter argued weak tie bridges are critical to the diffusion of information through networks. Arai and Van Alstyne (2011) discuss the conditions under which strong ties diffuse important information more effectively, while Centola and Macy argue that challenging innovations require multiple

channels to diffuse well. In the optional section, Lai and Wong (2002) examine the diffusions of a rumour, and Valente (2005) gives a technical overview of network diffusion models. I have omitted the huge and ever-growing literature on the diffusion of disease and of both good and bad health practices. The optional reading Erickson (2021) discusses when and why different kinds of culture do or do not flow through weak ties within and between the three largest ethnic groups in Toronto (White, Black, and Chinese). This paper is also relevant for the social capital section and the inequality section of this course.

Above we have already seen models of influence in networks (Marsden and Friedkin 1993) and an interesting application (Childress and Friedkin 2012).

Some kinds of networks, or network locations, are better than others for generating creativity in the form of good new ideas (Burt 2004), or critically acclaimed and commercially successful computer games (De Vaan, Stark and Vedres 2015) or Broadway musicals (Uzzi and Spiro 2005).

Readings

- Arai, Sinan and Marshall Van Alstyne. 2011. "The Diversity-Bandwidth Trade-off." *American Journal of Sociology* 117:90-171. Read pages 90-110.
- Burt, Ronald S. 2004. "Structural Holes and Good Ideas." American Journal of Sociology. 110:349-399.
- Centola, Damon and Michael Macy. 2007. "Complex Contagion and the Weakness of Long Ties." *American Journal of Sociology* 113: 702-34.
- Clayton Childress and Noah Friedkin, 2012, "Cultural Reception and Production: The Social Construction of Meaning in Book Clubs," American Sociological Review 77:45-68.
- Coleman, James. Elihu Katz and Herbert Menzel. 1957. "The Diffusion of an Innovation among Physicians." *Sociometry* 4:253-270.
- Mardsen, P.V. & Friedkin, N.E. 1993. "Network studies of social influence." *Sociological Methods & Research* 22: 127-151.
- Uzzi, Brian and Jarrett Spiro. 2005. "Collaboration and Creativity: The Small World Problem." *American Journal of Sociology* 111: 447-504 (read 447-465).
- Van den Bulte, C., and Lillien, G.L. 2001. "Medical Innovation revisited: social contagion versus marketing effect." *American Journal of Sociology* 106: 1409-1435.

Optional, not required readings

- De Vaan, Mathijs, David Stark, and Balazs Vedres. 2015. Game Changer: The Topology of Creativity." *American Journal of Sociology* 120: 1144-94.
- Bonnie H. Erickson. 2021. "The Problem of Culture Flows in Weak Ties." Pp. 765-793 in Mario
 L. Small, Brea Perry, Bernice Pescosolido, and Edward B. Smith (eds.), Personal
 Networks: Classic Readings and New Directions in Ego-Centric Analysis. Cambridge
 University Press Structural Analysis Series. Book available online in the library.
- Valente, Thomas. 2005. "Network Models and Methods for Studying the Diffusion of Innovations." Pp. 98-116 in Carrington, Peter J., John Scott and Stanley Wasserman (eds.), *Models and Methods in Social Network Analysis*. Cambridge,

UK: Cambridge University Press.

November 29 Essays Due

Classic Theory: Two by Simmel

The primary reading this week is Simmel's "Web of Group Affiliations." This classic, seminal essay is directly related to many issues in this course. The optional reading is Simmel's equally interesting and thought provoking essay "Conflict", a key discussion of the connections between this basic social process and social structure. Simmel is the most "networky" of the founding sociologists and a great favourite of network analysts. Both essays are found in:

Simmel, Georg. 1955. Conflict and the Web of Group Affiliations. Glencoe: Free Press.

Academic Integrity Clause

Copying, plagiarizing, falsifying medical certificates, or other forms of academic misconduct will not be tolerated. Any student caught engaging in such activities will be referred to the Dean's office for adjudication. Any student abetting or otherwise assisting in such misconduct will also be subject to academic penalties. Students are expected to cite sources in all written work and presentations. See this link for tips for how to use sources well:

http://www.writing.utoronto.ca/advice/using-sources/how-not-to-plagiarize.

According to Section B.I.1.(e) of the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters it is an offence "to submit, without the knowledge and approval of the instructor to whom it is submitted, any academic work for which credit has previously been obtained or is being sought in another course or program of study in the University or elsewhere." By enrolling in this course, you agree to abide by the university's rules regarding academic conduct, as outlined in the Calendar. You are expected to be familiar with the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters (http://www.artsci.utoronto.ca/osai/The-rules/code/the-code-of-behaviour-on-academic-matters) and Code of Student Conduct

(http://www.viceprovoststudents.utoronto.ca/publicationsandpolicies/codeofstudentconduct.htm) which spell out your rights, your duties and provide all the details on grading regulations and academic offences at the University of Toronto. Normally, students will be required to submit their course essays to Turnitin.com for a review of textual similarity and detection of possible plagiarism. In doing so, students will allow their essays to be included as source documents in the Turnitin.com reference database, where they will be used solely for the purpose of detecting plagiarism. The terms that apply to the University's use of the Turnitin.com service are described on the Turnitin.com web site. Accessibility Services It is the University of Toronto's goal to create a community that is inclusive of all persons and treats all members of the community in an equitable manner. In creating such a community, the University aims to foster a climate of understanding and mutual respect for the dignity and worth of all persons. Please see the University of Toronto Governing Council "Statement of Commitment Regarding Persons with Disabilities" at

http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/Assets/Governing+Council+Digital+Assets/Policies/PDF/ppnov012004.pdf.

In working toward this goal, the University will strive to provide support for, and facilitate the accommodation of individuals with disabilities so that all may share the same level of access to opportunities, participate in the full range of activities that the University offers, and achieve

their full potential as members of the University community. We take seriously our obligation to make this course as welcoming and accessible as feasible for students with diverse needs. We also understand that disabilities can change over time and will do our best to accommodate you. Students seeking support must have an intake interview with a disability advisor to discuss their individual needs. In many instances it is easier to arrange certain accommodations with more advance notice, so we strongly encourage you to act as quickly as possible. To schedule a registration appointment with a disability advisor, please visit Accessibility Services at http://www.studentlife.utoronto.ca/as,

call at 416-978-8060, or email at: accessibility.services@utoronto.ca. The office is located at 455 Spadina Avenue, 4th Floor, Suite 400. Additional student resources for distressed or emergency situations can be located at distressedstudent.utoronto.ca; Health & Wellness Centre, 416-978-8030, http://www.studentlife.utoronto.ca/hwc, or Student Crisis Response, 416-946-7111. Equity and Diversity Statement Equity and Diversity The University of Toronto is committed to equity and respect for diversity. All members of the learning environment in this course should strive to create an atmosphere of mutual respect. As a course instructor, I will neither condone nor tolerate behaviour that undermines the dignity or self-esteem of any individual in this course and wish to be alerted to any attempt to create an intimidating or hostile environment. It is our collective responsibility to create a space that is inclusive and welcomes discussion. Discrimination, harassment and hate speech will not be tolerated. Additional information and reports on Equity and Diversity at the University of Toronto is available at http://equity.hrandequity.utoronto.ca.

Accessibility Services

It is the University of Toronto's goal to create a community that is inclusive of all persons and treats all members of the community in an equitable manner. In creating such a community, the University aims to foster a climate of understanding and mutual respect for the dignity and worth of all persons. Please see the University of Toronto Governing Council "<u>Statement of</u> Commitment Regarding Persons with

Disabilities" at https://governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/secretariat/policies/statement-commitment-regarding-persons-disabilities-february-25-2021.

In working toward this goal, the University will strive to provide support for, and facilitate the accommodation of individuals with disabilities so that all may share the same level of access to opportunities, participate in the full range of activities that the University offers, and achieve their full potential as members of the University community. We take seriously our obligation to make this course as welcoming and accessible as feasible for students with diverse needs. We also understand that disabilities can change over time and will do our best to accommodate you. Students seeking support must have an intake interview with a disability advisor to discuss their individual needs. In many instances it is easier to arrange certain accommodations with more advance notice, so we strongly encourage you to act as quickly as possible. To schedule a registration appointment with a disability advisor, please visit Accessibility Services

at http://www.studentlife.utoronto.ca/as, call at 416-978-8060, or email at: accessibility.services@utoronto.ca. The office is located at 455 Spadina Avenue, 4th Floor, Suite 400.

Additional student resources for distressed or emergency situations can be located at distressedstudent.utoronto.ca; Health & Wellness Centre, 416-978-8030, http://www.studentlife.utoronto.ca/hwc, or Student Crisis Response, 416-946-7111.

Equity and Diversity Statement

All members of the learning environment in this course should strive to create an atmosphere of mutual respect. It is our collective responsibility to create a space that is inclusive and welcomes discussion. Discrimination, harassment and hate speech will not be tolerated; please alert me to any behaviour that undermines the dignity or self-esteem of any person in this course or otherwise creates an intimidating or hostile environment. You'll find additional information and reports on Equity and Diversity at the University of Toronto online at http://equity.hrandequity.utoronto.ca.